

Patient Experience with SGRT

Tattoo-Free Treatments, Increased Efficiency
& Improved Workflows with Surface Guided Radiation Therapy

Jessica Ikner, RTT

Texas Oncology — Houston Medical Center

Goal: Positive Patient Outcomes



Patient Satisfaction & Quality of Life

Studies in oncology have found a positive correlation between patient satisfaction and health-related quality of life

Alaloul et al., 2019

- ✓ Increased physical & social well-being
- ✓ Greater treatment adherence
- ✓ Higher probability of completing treatment
- ✓ Increased follow-up attendance
- ✓ Improved health-related quality of life



Patient-centered care approaches have been shown to improve clinical outcomes in chronic diseases like cancer. When patients feel heard and engaged, they report symptoms earlier, clinicians intervene sooner, and outcomes improve.

Ziegler et al., 2024

What Does AlignRT Offer?



Faster & Accurate Treatment

Streamlined clinical operations with real-time surface tracking



Tattoo-Free Treatment

Eliminates permanent skin marks; sub-millimetric accuracy via 3D surface imaging



Increased Patient Satisfaction

Positive patient experience linked to better clinical outcomes

All points are interconnected — each advantage of AlignRT drives patient satisfaction

Streamlining Care & Patient Satisfaction



Patient satisfaction is not just a metric — it drives clinical outcomes

- Influences volume growth and program reputation
- Satisfaction scores linked to quality reports and reimbursement programs
- Patients rely on recommendations and experience when choosing treatment centers

AlignRT Benefit?

Physicians

- Faster image evaluation
- Streamlined clinic schedules
- More time for patient consults

Therapists

- Quicker imaging & treatment
- Punctual treatment schedules
- Reduced stress & burnout

Department

- Manageable schedules for all staff
- Increased patient volume capacity
- Improved work-life balance

Patients

- Shorter time on treatment table
- Increased confidence in care
- Positive physician interactions

Breast Cancer Impact: Clinical Perspective

Volume Challenges

- Increased breast treatment volumes in radiation oncology departments
- Compromised time on clinic/treatment machines
- Impact on clinic and treatment schedules
- Impact on accuracy of daily treatment

Our Center Volume



10

patients treated per day

50

patients treated per year

25-35%

of all radiation treatments

Why SGRT? The Breast Cancer Landscape

30%

of all new cancers
in women

ACS, 2026

1 in 8

women will develop
breast cancer

NBCF, 2026

5-7%

of breast cancers in
women under 40

NBCF, 2026

50%

of cases will
receive radiation

RT Answers, 2026

27K

US cases in women
younger than 45

CDC, 2025

Rising breast cancer volumes demand faster, more efficient radiation workflows

Breast Cancer Impact: Patient Perspective

60–70%

of patients experience mild–moderate depression or anxiety symptoms

30–60%

of patients reduce work hours or stop working during treatment

Psychosocial

Trauma, stress, body image concerns; distress persists after treatment

Financial

Depression, reduced income, lower treatment adherence

Routine

Sense of control and normalcy; critical for emotional well-being

Work-Life Balance

Improves return-to-work rates, quality of life, and financial outcomes

Continue to Work Initiative

Financial pressure is one of the biggest reasons patients struggle to stay on treatment.

PROTECTED LEAVE & INCOME SUPPORT

Key support programs

- **Family & Medical Leave Act (FMLA)**
Job-protected unpaid leave for qualifying medical events
- **Short- and long-term disability insurance**
Income replacement during extended treatment periods
- **Paid sick leave programs**
Employer-sponsored wage replacement during recovery

WITHOUT INCOME PROTECTION

Patients are more likely to:

- 01 Work through severe symptoms**
Pushing through pain and side effects without rest
- 02 Miss treatments**
Skipping or delaying critical appointments
- 03 Experience worse outcomes**
Higher risk of complications and reduced recovery

Core Goals

“Working with Cancer” sign the pledge agree to:

- End stigma and silence around cancer at work
- Encourage open conversations between employees and managers
- Provide job security and flexibility during treatment
- Support return-to-work transitions
- Train leaders to better support employees

Imaging Comparison: Study Design

Compared time needed for imaging on 1st fraction of treatment

Treatment Protocol

- ✓ Breast medial & lateral tangents
- ✓ 3D conformal plan
- ✓ Free-breathing technique
- ✓ Civco board, both arms up, head turned

Matched Comparison

Without AlignRT

TXO Sugar Land
TrueBeam
20 patients

With AlignRT

TXO Houston Medical
Center
TrueBeam
20 patients

Controlled variables: Same patient setup, treatment machine model, treatment plan, physician

AlignRT Accuracy: The Evidence

Residual Errors After Verification

~0.01–0.09 cm

(≈1 mm) in all directions

>95%

of fractions within 5 mm tolerance

28%

of patients had <3 mm total deviation

Sub-centimeter accuracy comparable to standard setup tolerances

Yamauchi et al., 2025

SGRT vs Tattoo/Laser Alignment

Multi-site study results:

SGRT: 3.6 mm

Tattoos/Lasers: 4.5 mm

AlignRT provides equal or better accuracy than traditional tattoo/laser setup

SGRT-based positioning is non-inferior with setup times 21% faster per fraction

Rudat et al., 2023 · Mueller et al., 2023 · Vision RT data

Tattoo-Free Treatment: How It Works

01



CT Simulation

Temporary marks placed;
patient surface captured as
reference 3D image

02



Surface Capture

Thousands of surface points
create a 3D body map for
precise matching

03



Real-Time Tracking

AlignRT cameras continuously
track patient surface during
treatment

04



Motion Detection

Beam pauses automatically if
patient moves beyond
threshold

Tattoo-Free Advantages: Treatment

Clinical Accuracy

- ✓ Accuracy comparable or superior to tattoo alignment for breast
- ✓ Uses thousands of surface points for 3D matching vs. 3-point laser alignment
- ✓ Detects motion during treatment and pauses beam when needed
- ✓ Increases reproducibility, especially for breast treatment
- ✓ Eliminates needle-stick risk for patients and staff

Patient Impact

- ♥ Eliminates permanent tattoos — removes chronic emotional triggers
- ♥ 78% of surveyed women would choose tattoo-free treatment even with additional effort
- ♥ Empowerment over diagnosis and treatment decisions
- ♥ Eliminates visible reminder of treatment — supports emotional recovery & body image
- ♥ Less invasive, less contact, decreased anxiety

Conclusion: What AlignRT Offers



Faster & More Accurate

Streamlined imaging workflows
Reduced treatment time per fraction
Higher patient throughput capacity



Tattoo-Free Treatment

Eliminates emotional triggers
Sub-mm accuracy via 3D surface
Preferred by 78% of patients



Increased Patient Outcomes

Increased patient satisfaction
Improved treatment adherence
Positive long-term quality of life



Team Benefits

Manageable schedules
Reduced staff stress
Increased clinical confidence
Promotes safety

AlignRT: Transforming breast radiation therapy through precision, efficiency, and patient-centered care

Works Cited

- Alaloul, F., et al. (2019). Patient experience factors and health-related quality of life. *Oncology Nursing Forum*.
- Al-Hallaq, H., et al. (2022). AAPM TG-302: Surface-guided radiotherapy. *Medical Physics*.
- American Cancer Society. (2026). About Breast Cancer. [cancer.org](https://www.cancer.org).
- Bradley, C.J., et al. (2007). Employment and cancer: Breast and prostate cancer survivors. *Cancer Investigation*, 25(1).
- Brown, E., et al. (2020). Patient perceptions of radiotherapy tattoos and alternatives. *J Med Imaging Radiat Sci*, 51(4).
- Capaldi, D.P.I., et al. (2024). Tattoo-less workflow for nonspine bone SBRT. *Practical Radiation Oncology*, 14(2).
- Ginter, G., et al. (2020). Radiotherapy tattoos: Women's skin as carrier of personal memory. *The Breast*, 49:194-195.
- Kumar, R., et al. (2023). Psychosocial well-being and QoL in women with breast cancer. *J Cancer Res Ther*, 19(5).
- Mohanty, S., et al. (2015). Patient satisfaction, outcomes, and cancer-specific quality metrics. *JNCI*, 107(3).
- Montazeri, A. (2008). Health-related QoL in breast cancer patients. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*, 27(1).
- Mueller, B.A., et al. (2023). Accuracy & efficiency: Surface imaging vs skin tattoos for APBI. *Adv Radiat Oncol*, 8(3).
- Operational assessment of tattooless breast RT using AlignRT. (2025). *ScienceDirect*.
- Rudat, V., et al. (2023). Setup accuracy and margins for SGRT. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1).
- Sauer, T.O., et al. (2023). Markerless SGRT-only workflow for breast cancer. *Strahlenther Onkol*, 199(1).
- Yamauchi, R., et al. (2025). AlignRT imaging verification residual errors.
- Ziegler, E., et al. (2024). Patient experiences and needs in cancer care. *BMC Health Serv Res*, 24, 572.

Supporting Evidence: Published Literature

AAPM TG-302 (Al-Hallaq et al., 2022)

Comprehensive guidelines for SGRT clinical use; endorses surface imaging for positioning, monitoring, and beam gating

Sauer et al., 2023

Markerless SGRT-only workflow: 40% of breast patients safely treated without daily CBCT; significant time & dose savings

Mueller et al., 2023

Tattoo-less setup via AlignRT is non-inferior in accuracy and faster for accelerated partial breast irradiation

Rudat et al., 2023

Multi-site study: SGRT mean 3D setup error 3.6 mm vs 4.5 mm for tattoo/laser — equal or better accuracy

Capaldi et al., 2024

SGRT workflow for bone SBRT: treatment time reduced from 21.6 to 13.4 min; significant reduction in CBCT radiation

Operational Study, 2025

SGRT setup 11s faster for photon; 23s faster for free-breathing; positional accuracy non-inferior; fiscally feasible

300+ peer-reviewed publications support the effectiveness of SGRT with AlignRT

Thank You

Jessica Ikner, RTT

Texas Oncology — Houston Medical Center

Questions?